

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/740,694	12/22/2003	Murty N. Arimilli	18477.031 / 259.PC2	1095
28381 7590 06/09/2008 ARNOLD & PORTER LLP ATTN: IP DOCKETING DEPT.			EXAMINER	
			HUMPHREY, LOUISE WANG ZHIYING	
555 TWELFTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/740.694 ARIMILLI ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit LOUISE HUMPHREY 1648 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/7/08. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3.30-33.62-65.93-96 and 123-125 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3.30-33.62-65.93-96 and 123-125 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/740,694

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 7 March 2008. Claims 1, 2, 4-29, 34-61, 66-92, 97-122 and 126-180 are cancelled. Claims 3, 30-33, 62-65, 93-96 and 123-125 are pending and currently examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The written description rejection of claims 3 and 96 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, is withdrawn in response to Applicants' response.

The scope of enablement rejection of claims 3 and 96 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, is withdrawn in response to Applicants' response.

Allowability Withdrawn

The indicated allowability of claims 30-33, 62-65, 93-95 and 123-125 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference to US patent no. 7,273,716 B2. Rejections based on the newly cited reference follow.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151

Application/Control Number: 10/740,694

Art Unit: 1648

U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 2 of prior U.S. Patent No. 7,273,716 B2. The conflicting claims have identical scope of invention even though the phrase "peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) extract" is recited separately in a dependent claim in the U.S. Patent No. 7,273,716 B2. This is a double patenting rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3, 30-33, 62-65, 93-96, and 123-125 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/740,694 Art Unit: 1648

claims 2, 10 and 12-15 of U.S. Patent No. 7,273,716 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims 3, 30-33, 62-65, 93-96, and 123-125 are anticipated by the patented claims 2, 10 and 12-15. Both sets of claims have the same method steps. The instant claims fully encompass the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,273,716 B2. For example, the instant claim limitation of "at least a partially purified fraction of PBMC extract comprising GS-7340 ester hydrolase activity, which has carboxylic ester hydrolase activity but does not cleave alpha-naphthyl acetate" is the same subject matter as the patent claim limitation of "a purified extract that comprises GS-7340 ester hydrolase" and the instant claim limitation of "a cell-free environment" encompasses the patent claim limitation of "in vitro."

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LOUISE HUMPHREY whose telephone number is (571)272-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu, 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/740,694 Page 5

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 1648

/Bruce Campell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1648